

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1 Corridor Description	1-1
1.1.1 Activity Centers and Destinations.....	1-4
1.1.2 Demographic Characteristics.....	1-4
1.1.3 Economic Trends	1-6
1.1.4 Travel Markets and Characteristics	1-7
1.2 Corridor Transportation System	1-8
1.3 Mobility Problem.....	1-10
1.3.1 Freeway and Arterial Congestion	1-10
1.3.2 Transit System Constraints.....	1-11
1.3.3 Regional Transit System Connectivity.....	1-11
1.4 Purpose and Need	1-12

2.0 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

2.1 Previous Study Efforts.....	2-1
2.2 Screening and Selection Process	2-3
2.2.1 Conceptual Set of Alternatives.....	2-5
2.2.2 Initial Set of Alternatives.....	2-7
2.2.3 Final Set of Alternatives	2-10
2.3 Definition of Final Alternatives.....	2-10
2.3.1 No Build Alternative.....	2-11
2.3.2 Transportation System Management Alternative.....	2-15
2.3.3 Bus Rapid Transit Alternative	2-17
Operational Description	2-17
Vertical Configuration	2-19
Service Configurations	2-19
Stations.....	2-22
Station Parking.....	2-23
2.3.4 Guideway Alternatives.....	2-24
Operational Description.....	2-24
Vertical Configuration.....	2-27
Service Configurations	2-29
Stations 2-29	
Station Parking	2-37
2.3.5 Alignment Alternative Challenges	2-38
2.3.6 Final Screening Evaluation Criteria	2-49

3.0 TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

3.1 Affected Environment.....	3-1
3.2 Traffic	3-2
3.2.1 Freeway Network.....	3-2
3.2.1.1 Existing and Future Conditions.....	3-4
3.2.1.2 Future System Improvements	3-7
3.2.2 Arterial Network	3-8
3.2.2.1 Existing and Future Conditions.....	3-8
3.2.2.2 Future System Improvements	3-10
3.2.3 Highway System Impacts.....	3-10
3.2.3.1 Impacts by Alignment Alternative	3-11
3.3 Transit	3-34
3.3.1 Existing Transit Service.....	3-34
3.3.2 Future Transit Improvements.....	3-35
3.3.2.1 Operating Assumptions and Plans.....	3-35
3.3.2.2 Ridership Projections	3-48
3.4 Other Modes	3-55
3.4.1 Existing Pedestrian and Bicycle System	3-55
3.4.2 Future Pedestrian and Bicycle System Improvements	3-58
3.4.3 Pedestrian and Bicycle Impacts	3-58
3.4.3.1 Pedestrian Impacts	3-59
3.4.3.2 Bicycle Impacts	3-60
3.4.3.3 Summary of Impacts	3-60

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 Land Use and Economic Development	4-1
4.1.1 Affected Environment.....	4-1
4.1.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations	4-2
4.1.3 Evaluation Methodology.....	4-2
4.1.4 Land Use and Economic Development Assessment	4-3
4.2 Acquisition.....	4-27
4.2.1 Affected Environment.....	4-27
4.2.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations	4-27
4.2.3 Evaluation Methodology.....	4-28
4.2.4 Real Estate and Acquisitions Assessment	4-28
4.3 Visual and Aesthetics	4-29
4.3.1 Affected Environment.....	4-29
4.3.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations	4-29
4.3.3 Evaluation Methodology.....	4-30
4.3.4 Assessment of Visual and Aesthetics.....	4-30

4.4 Cultural Resources 4-39

4.4.1 Affected Environment 4-39

4.4.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-39

4.4.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-40

4.4.4 Cultural Resources Assessment 4-41

4.5 Air Quality 4-47

4.5.1 Affected Environment 4-47

4.5.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-50

4.5.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-51

4.5.4 Air Quality Assessment 4-51

4.6 Climate Change 4-52

4.6.1 Affected Environment 4-53

4.6.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-54

4.6.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-55

4.6.4 Climate Change and Emissions Assessment 4-55

4.7 Energy 4-58

4.7.1 Affected Environment 4-58

4.7.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-58

4.7.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-59

4.7.4 Energy Assessment 4-59

4.8 Noise and Vibration 4-60

4.8.1 Affected Environment 4-60

4.8.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-61

4.8.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-63

4.8.4 Noise and Vibration Assessment 4-67

4.9 Parks and Recreation Resources 4-70

4.9.1 Affected Environment 4-71

4.9.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-71

4.9.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-71

4.9.4 Parklands and Recreation Assessment 4-72

4.10 Safety and Security 4-78

4.10.1 Affected Environment 4-78

4.10.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-78

4.10.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-79

4.10.4 Safety and Security Assessment 4-79

4.11 Environmental Justice 4-89

4.11.1 Affected Environment 4-89

4.11.2 Applicable Laws and Regulations 4-89

4.11.3 Evaluation Methodology 4-90

4.11.4 Environmental Justice Assessment 4-91

4.12 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Benefits 4-96

5.0 COST ANALYSIS

5.1 Capital Cost Analytical Overview 5-1
5.2 Capital Costs 5-2
5.2.1 Vehicle Requirements 5-2
5.2.2 Storage and Maintenance Facilities 5-4
5.2.3 Capital Costs 5-5
5.3 Operating and Maintenance Costs 5-14
5.4 Financial Feasibility Analysis 5-15
5.4.1 Sources and Uses of Funds Analysis 5-15
5.4.2 Additional Capital and Operating Funding Requirements 5-18

6.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION

6.1 Public Involvement and Agency Coordination Efforts 6-1
6.1.1 Conceptual Screening Efforts 6-3
6.1.2 Initial Alternatives Screening Efforts 6-7
6.1.3 Final Alternatives Screening Efforts 6-13
6.2 Summary of Public and Agency Comments 6-13
6.2.1 Themes Identified During Conceptual Alternatives Screening 6-13
6.2.2 Comments Received During Initial Screening 6-17
6.2.3 Comments Received During Final Screening 6-21

7.0 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Purpose and Need 7-1
7.2 Evaluation Criteria 7-3
7.3 Alternatives Considered 7-4
7.4 Public Input 7-9
7.5 Mobility Improvements 7-11
7.6 Cost-Effectiveness/Sustainability 7-18
7.7 Land Use/Economic Plan Support 7-23
7.8 Project Feasibility 7-25
7.9 Environmental and Community Impacts 7-26
7.10 Comparative Summary 7-29
7.11 Discussion/Comparison of Alignment Alternatives 7-34
7.12 Recommended Alternatives 7-40

TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of Conceptual Screening Results	2-6
Table 2.2 Existing Transit Systems used for Initial Screening Efforts	2-8
Table 2.3 Initial Screening Results Summary	2-9
Table 2.4 Approved Transportation Improvements in the Corridor Study Area (2035)	2-11
Table 2.5 Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative Projects (2035)	2-15
Table 2.6 BRT Alternatives: Proposed Stations	2-22
Table 2.7 BRT Alternatives: Proposed Station Parking	2-23
Table 2.8 Guideway Alternatives: Number of Stations	2-36
Table 2.9 Guideway Alternatives: Proposed Stations	2-36
Table 2.10 Guideway Alternatives: Proposed Station Parking.....	2-38
Table 2.11 Final Screening Evaluation Criteria.....	2-50
Table 3.1 Level of Service Definition	3-4
Table 3.2 Corridor Study Area Freeways Operating at Level of Service E or F	3-5
Table 3.3 Project Vertical Configurations	3-14
Table 3.4 Intersections Impact Determination Criteria	3-19
Table 3.5 Northern Connection Area: Summarized Impacted Intersections.....	3-22
Table 3.6 Northern Connection Area: Impacted Intersections.....	3-23
Table 3.7 Northern Connection Area: Proposed Street System Changes	3-25
Table 3.8 PEROW/WSAB Area: Summarized Impacted Intersections	3-26
Table 3.9 PEROW/WSAB Area: Intersection Impacts	3-26
Table 3.10 PEROW/WSAB Area: Proposed Street System Changes.....	3-28
Table 3.11 Southern Connection Area: Impacted Intersections	3-30
Table 3.12 Southern Connection Area: Intersection Impacts	3-31
Table 3.13 Southern Connection Area: Proposed Street System Changes.....	3-34
Table 3.14 Service Frequency	3-39
Table 3.15 Alternative Definition and Resulting Operational Information.....	3-41
Table 3.16 BRT Alternatives: Run Times	3-42
Table 3.17 Guideway Alternatives: Run Times	3-43
Table 3.18 LRT West Bank 3 Alternative: All Grade-Separated System Travel Times	3-44
Table 3.19 LRT West Bank 3: Skip Stop System Travel Times	3-44
Table 3.20 Travel Times for Minimum Operable Segments in Los Angeles County.....	3-45
Table 3.21 Forecast Ridership (2035)	3-48
Table 3.22 Comparison of Forecast Ridership based on Metro Blue Line Operating Speed (2035)	3-50
Table 3.23 Annual Corridor Daily Boardings (2035)	3-51
Table 3.24 Peak and Off-Peak Boarding Access (2035)	3-51
Table 3.25 Guideway Alternatives: Mode of Access (2035)	3-52
Table 3.26 Forecasted Most Active Stations by Alternative and County (2035)	3-52
Table 3.27 Sensitive Test: Entirely Grade-Separated LRT Alternative (2035)	3-53

Table 3.28 Low Speed Maglev Alternatives: Private Fare (2035)..... 3-54

Table 3.29 Ridership Projections for Minimal Operable Segments in Los Angeles County..... 3-54

Table 3.30 Summary of Bikeways Crossing the PEROW/WSAB Corridor 3-56

Table 4.1 BRT Alternatives: Proposed Stations.....

Table 4.2 Guideway Alternatives: Proposed Stations.....

Table 4.3 Summary of Transit Supportive Land Use Plans 4-26

Table 4.4 Possible Property Acquisitions (Parcels)..... 4-28

Table 4.5 Cultural Resources Adjacent to the Alternatives 4-41

Table 4.6 State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards 4-48

Table 4.7 Background Air Quality Data (2007-2009)..... 4-49

Table 4.8 Daily Emissions Summary (lb/day) 4-52

Table 4.9 Annual Emissions Summary (MTCO₂) 4-56

Table 4.10 Air Quality and Climate Change Benefits – Comparative Summary..... 4-57

Table 4.11 Transportation Energy Intensity..... 4-60

Table 4.12 FTA Land-Use Categories and Noise Metrics 4-62

Table 4.13 Project-Noise and Vibration-Screening Distances (feet)¹ 4-62

Table 4.14 Number of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses Identified within the FTA/FRA Screening Distances . 4-68

Table 4.15 Number of Vibration-Sensitive Land Uses Identified within the FTA/FRA Screening
 Distances 4-69

Table 4.16 Summary of Noise- and Vibration-Sensitive Land Uses Identified within the FTA/FRA
 Screening Distances..... 4-70

Table 4.17 Parklands and Recreational Facilities 4-73

Table 4.18 Los Angeles County Schools Near Proposed Alternatives 4-81

Table 4.19 Orange County Schools Near Proposed Alternatives 4-86

Table 4.20 2008 U.S. Department of HHS Poverty Guidelines..... 4-89

Table 4.21 Los Angeles County – Demographic Characteristics 4-91

Table 4.22 Environmental Justice Populations in the Corridor Study Area 4-91

Table 4.23 Summary of Environmental Impacts 4-96

Table 5.1 Fleet Requirements for TSM and BRT Alternatives..... 5-2

Table 5.2 Fleet Requirements for Guideway Alternatives..... 5-3

Table 5.3 Order of Magnitude Capital Costs (FY 2010 dollars)..... 5-6

Table 5.4 Capital Cost Breakdown (FY 2010 dollars)..... 5-8

Table 5.5 Estimated Capital Cost Per Mile (FY 2010 dollars) 5-9

Table 5.6 Estimated Capital Cost Per County (FY 2010 dollars)..... 5-10

Table 5.7 Capital Cost for Possible Minimal Operable Segments (FY 2010 dollars)..... 5-12

Table 5.8 Street Car and LRT Alignments: Definition of Vertical Configuration 5-13

Table 5.9 Estimated Capital Cost for 100% Grade-Separated Systems (FY 2010)..... 5-13

Table 5.10 Estimated Annual O & M Costs (FY 2010 dollars) 5-14

Table 5.11 Capital Funding Requirements: FY2011 to FY2040 (Year of Expenditure, Millions) 5-18

Table 5.12 Summary of Cash Flow Analysis..... 5-19

Table 6.1 Summary of Conceptual Screening Phase Outreach Efforts..... 6-7
Table 6.2 Summary of Initial Screening Phase Outreach Efforts..... 6-12
Table 7.1 Summary of Final Screening Evaluation Criteria 7-4
Table 7.2 Alternative Definition and Resulting Operational Information 7-8
Table 7.3 System Travel Times..... 7-12
Table 7.4 LRT West Bank 3 Alternative: All Grade-Separated System Travel Times..... 7-13
Table 7.5 LRT West Bank 3: Skip Stop System Travel Times 7-14
Table 7.6 Forecast Ridership (2035) 7-15
Table 7.7 Comparison of Forecast Ridership based on Metro Blue Line Operating Speed (2035) 7-16
Table 7.8 Sensitivity Test: Entirely Grade-Separated LRT Alternative (2035)..... 7-16
Table 7.9 Low Speed Maglev Alternative: Private Fare (2035) 7-17
Table 7.10 Ridership Projections for Minimum Operable Segments in Los Angeles County 7-17
Table 7.11 Capital Cost Breakdown (FY 2010 dollars) 7-19
Table 7.12 Estimated Annual O&M Costs (FY 2011 dollars) 7-21
Table 7.13 Cost-Effectiveness Indices (2035)..... 7-22
Table 7.14 Summary of Transit Supportive Land Use Plans 7-24
Table 7.15 Summary of Environmental Impacts..... 7-26
Table 7.16 Summary of Final Screening Results..... 7-36
Table 7.17 Overview of Northern Connection Area Alignment Options 7-39
Table 7.18 Overview of Southern Connection Area Alignment Options 7-41

FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Corridor 1-2
Figure 1.2 Corridor Activity Centers and Destinations 1-5
Figure 1.3 Corridor Transportation System 1-9
Figure 2.1 Corridor Study Area Previous Studies 2-2
Figure 2.2 Screening Process 2-4
Figure 2.3 Approved Highway Projects in Corridor Study Area (2035) 2-12
Figure 2.4 Approved Transit System Projects (2035) 2-14
Figure 2.5 TSM Alternative Projects (2035) 2-16
Figure 2.6 BRT Alternative: Northern Alignment Alternatives..... 2-18
Figure 2.7 BRT Alternative: Southern Alignment Alternatives..... 2-20
Figure 2.8 Typical BRT Operational Cross-Sections PEROW/WSAB Corridor..... 2-21
Figure 2.9 Guideway Alternatives: Northern Alignment Alternatives..... 2-26
Figure 2.10 Guideway Alternatives: Southern Alignment Alternatives..... 2-28
Figure 2.11 Typical Street Car Operational Cross-Sections PEROW/WSAB Corridor 2-30
Figure 2.12 Typical LRT Operational Cross-Sections Cesar Chavez Avenue Bridge..... 2-31
Figure 2.13 Typical Low Speed Maglev Operational Cross-Sections Los Angeles River 2-32
Figure 2.14 Vertical Configurations – North of the PEROW/WSAB Corridor..... 2-33

Figure 2.15 Vertical Configurations on the PEROW/WSAB Corridor..... 2-34

Figure 2.16 Vertical Configurations South of the PEROW/WSAB Corridor..... 2-35

Figure 2.17 Implementation Challenges and Constraints..... 2-42

Figure 2.18 Implementation Challenges and Constraints..... 2-47

Figure 3.1 Current Regional Highway System..... 3-3

Figure 3.2 Freeway Level of Service (2035) 3-6

Figure 3.3 Corridor Arterial System: Level of Service (2035) 3-9

Figure 3.4 Intersection Types..... 3-15

Figure 3.5 Intersection Types..... 3-16

Figure 3.6 Intersection Types..... 3-17

Figure 3.7 Intersection Types..... 3-18

Figure 3.8 Northern Connection Area: Impacted Intersections..... 3-24

Figure 3.9 PEROW/WSAB Area: Impacted Intersections..... 3-27

Figure 3.10 Southern Connection Area: Impacted Intersections..... 3-32

Figure 3.11 Existing Rail Transit Service..... 3-36

Figure 3.12 Existing Los Angeles County Transit Service 3-37

Figure 3.13 Existing Orange County Transit Service..... 3-38

Figure 3.14 Corridor Guideway Station Spacing 3-46

Figure 3.15 Corridor Guideway Station Spacing 3-37

Figure 3.16 Existing Bikeways in Corridor Study Area 3-57

Figure 4.1 Land Uses..... 4-3

Figure 4.2 Visual and Privacy Impacts 4-35

Figure 4.3 Los Angeles County Historical Resources 4-45

Figure 4.4 Orange County Historical Resources..... 4-46

Figure 4.5 Typical A-Weighted Noise Levels 4-64

Figure 4.6 Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels..... 4-66

Figure 4.7 Los Angeles County Parks and Recreational Facilities..... 4-76

Figure 4.8 Orange County Parks and Recreational Facilities 4-77

Figure 4.9 Los Angeles County Schools near Proposed Alignment 4-83

Figure 4.10 Orange County Schools near Proposed Alignment 4-88

Figure 4.11 Los Angeles County Environmental Justice Populations 4-93

Figure 4.12 Orange County Environmental Justice Populations..... 4-94